原文題目(出處):	Keratocystic odontogenic tumor: systematic review with
	analysis of 72 additional cases from Mumbai, India. Oral
	Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2013;115:128-39.
原文作者姓名:	Kaustubh Sansare, Mamta Raghav, Muralidhar Mupparapu,
	Nilesh Mundada, Freny R. Karjodkar, Shivani Bansal, Rajiv
	Desai
通訊作者學校:	Oral Medicine and Radiology, Nair Hospital and Dental
	College. Division of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology,
	Department of Oral Medicine, University of Pennsylvania
	School of Dental Medicine, Philadelphia, Oral Pathology,
	Nair Hospital and Dental College.
報告者姓名(組別):	吳亭瑩 (Intern I 組)
報告日期:	102.5.10

內文:

I. Introduction

- 1. KCOT renamed by WHO in 2005
- 2. Definition: A benign uni- or multicystic intraosseous tumor of odontogenic origin with a characteristic lining of parakeratinized stratified squamous epithelium and potentially aggressive infiltrative behavior. It may be solitary or multiple. The latter is usually one of the stigmata of the inherited nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (NBCCS).
- 3. KOTs are associated with inactivation of PTCH, the tumor suppressive gene.
- 4. This study determines the radiographic and clinical features more accurately for the Indian population, which will help in further diagnosis of keratocystic odontogenic tumor.
- II. Materials and Methods
 - 1. Searching the medical literature for the period from 1957 to March 2012.
 - 2. Scientific databases namely Pubmed Plus, Medline (Pre-Medline and Medline), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (evidence-based medicine), Dentistry and Oral Sciences Source, Access Science, Embase, Evidence-Based Medicine Reviews Multifile, Google Scholar, ISI Journal Citation Reports, and Ovid Multi-database
 - 3. Search keywords included were keratocystic AND odontogenic AND tumor OR odontogenic AND keratocyst OR primordial.
 - Inclusion criteria: All case series, histologically confirmed as a parakeratinized variety, were included in this SR. (In the pre-2005 case series where both orthokeratinized and parakeratinized variety were reported, only parakeratinized reports were selected.)
 - 5. Excluded:
 - i. Syndromic cases of NBCCS were excluded from this review, because multiple tumors in this syndrome are often not synchronous.
 - ii. Articles on the peripheral or extraosseous variant of KOT were excluded.
 - 6. Additional cases: Cases from Nair Hospital Dental College (Indian)
 - i. Cases diagnosed as KOT and OOC after 2005 and those diagnosed as OKC before 2005.
 - ii. Cases were reviewed for a period of 9 years, from January 2001 to

December 2009.

- iii. Final diagnosis was made on the basis of histopathology.
- iv. The various variables considered in this study were age, sex, arch and site of lesion, nature (single/multiple) of occurrence, and radiographic findings. Results compared with findings from the rest of the world.
- 7. Lesion site definition

	Maxillary	Mandible
Class I	tooth 13-23 distal sides	tooth 33-43 distal sides
Class II	tooth 14M-distal, 24M-distal area	tooth 34M-distal, 44M-distal area
Class III	lesions that extended into both anterior and posterior segments of the maxilla.	lesions extending into both anterior and posterior segments of mandible.
Class IV	lesions from third molar to third molar crossing the midline.	lesions from third molar to third molar crossing the midline.
Class V	X	lesions limited to posterior segment, angle of the mandible, ramus, condyle, and coronoid process.



III. Results

1. A total o selected for the SK.

2. The results were mainly divided into 4 major groups based on origin of the genetic family: American, Caucasian, East Asian and Pacific, and African and South Asian.

American		North and south America
Caucasian		Europe
African South Asian	and	Africa and Eastern, Northern, Southern Indian subcontinent
East Asian Pacific	and	Southeastern Asia and the Australian continent

Table I. Comparison of global groupings of presentand previous systematic reviews (SRs)

Present SR groups	Previous SR groups
American + Caucasian	Western + Latin American
African and South Asian	Subsaharan
East Asian and Pacific	East Asian

Table II. Database of cases and years of different global groups for the present and previous systematic reviews (SRs)

			American +	Western + Latin	African and		East Asian and				Additiona
	American	Caucasian	Caucasian	American*	South Asian	Subsaharan*	Pacific	East Asian*	Total	Total*	cases
No. of reports	19	22	41	33	5	3	19	13	65	49	1
No. of KOT	2,031	1,081	3,112	2,799	554	78	2528	1,900	6194	4,777	72
No. of cases per year	5.99	4.28	5.26	5.78	8.93	0.04	7.86	6.31	6.35	5.84	8

*Previous SR.

	\overline{a} \cdot	
	('omnomicon	
4		
	Companyon	

American	Cancinian	Anterican + Cenecasion	Wastern + Latin American ⁺	Meican and South Astan	Side Sultarian'	and Subsaharan group
(9) 975:713 39.1 (6)	352212 (9) 3293 (7)	(H1) 165;938 (H1) 165;938	795.559 (15) 36.75 (10)	272:210 (4) 39.2 (3)	3:3(2) 43.15(2)	an and Caucasian groups
178-405 (S) 81-323 (2)	50:24(1) 11:63(1)	228:429 (6) 98:386 (3)	97:83 (4) 24 (134 (3)	30(0)	3.0(1)	ps
670/265 (11)	238(84 (7)	908:350 (18)	818/453 12)	136(298 (4)	6 (0 (2)	per year
						African and East Asian

ious clinical features of cases included in the present and previous system

Caucasian (74%)

Table	ш.	Various	clinical	features	of	cases	included	in	the	pres
parent	hese	s)								

	American	Crucastan	American + Concasian	Western + Latin American*						
Male:female	517:379 (9)	352/212 (9)	869:591 (18)	795:559 (15)						
Mean age of presentation, y	39.1 (6)	32.93 (7)	35.93 (13)	36.75 (10)						
Swelling, P.A	178-405 (5)	50:24(1)	228.429 (6)	97:83(4)						
Pain, P.A.	81:323 (2)	(1:03 (1)	98:38613)	24:134 (3)						
Mandible:maxilla	670:265 (11)	238:84 (7)	908:350 (18)	819:423 (15) res.	NA	presented	naucity	of	radiologic	deta
D December 4 Aug				105.	1 1 1 1	presenteu,	paueny	01	radiologic	ucu

P. Present; A. absent "Previous SR

ies

Table IV. Radiographic findings in the included reports

		Complet radioluc				Well defined		Buccolingual expansion		Antral involvement		Root resorption		with unerupted tooth	
Author (year)	n	Y	N	Unilocular	Multilocular	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
Borello (1976)9	14	12	0	6	6	NA	NA	2	12	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	N
Mosadomi (1976)10	2	2	NA	NA	2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Chiang (1982)14	15	15	0	10	4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	7	
Nielsen (1986)20	.21	NA	NA	17	4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	N.
Haring (1988)22	60	60	0	44	16	27	33	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	35	16	3
Tagesen (1990)24	38	38	0	34	4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	N.
Crowley (1992)26	387	256	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100	109		
Santos (1999)34	40	50	0	41	9	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	10	5
Myoung (2001)38	256	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	70	18
Ogunsalo (2007)51	3	3	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	N.
Yagyuu (2008)55	62	62	0	43	19	I NG	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	N
MacDonald-Jankowski (2008)58	33	33	0	16	17	33	0	27	6	п	0	13	19	20	1
Ba (2010)60	274	ING	NA	184	62	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	N
Ariji (2011)60	10	ING	NA	10	0	I NG	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2	8	7	
Additional cases	60	60	0	38	22	58	2	32	28	NA	NA	16	35	28	3
Total	1,275	591	0	438	107	118	35	61	46	21	4	34	97	242	43

Y, Yes; N, no; NA, information not available.

viii. Unilocular KOT: predominant in all global groups

Except: African group (present) and Subsaharan group (previous)

ix. Border: well defined in East Asian

poorly defined in American group

- x. Buccolingual expansion: common in additional case
- xi. Root resorption: significantly higher in East Asian
- xii. KOT with unerupted tooth: not common in any of the groups

Table V. Comparative pre	esentation of variou	is radiographic featu	res of cases	included in t	he present an	nd previous	systematic	reviews ((SRs) (nc), of reports in
parentheses)										

	American	Caucasian	American + Caucasian	Western + Latin American*	African and South Asian	Sub Saharan*	East Asian and Pacific	East Asian*	Total	Total*	Additional cases
Uni-:multilocular	91:31 (4)	51:8 (2)	142:39 (6)	142:39 (5)	0:2 (1)	0:2(1)	320:166 (6)	69:40 (3)	462:205 (13)	211:81 (9)	38:22(1)
Completely radiolucent, Y:N	378:0 (4)	38:0(1)	416:0 (5)	NA	5:0(2)	NA	110:0 (3)	NA	481:0 (10)	NA	60:0(1)
Margin definition, good:poor	27:33(1)	NA	27:33(1)	27:33(1)	NA	NA	33:0(1)	33:0(1)	60:33 (2)	60:33 (2)	58:2(1)
BL expansion, Y:N	2:12(1)	NA	2:12(1)	2:12(1)	NA	NA	27:6(1)	27:6(1)	29:18 (2)	29:18 (2)	32:28 (1)
Antral involvement, Y:N	NA	NA	NA	11:0(1)	NA	NA	11:0(1)	11:0(1)	11:0(1)	11:0(1)	NA
Root resorption, Y:N	3:35(1)	NA	3:35(1)	3:35(1)	NA	NA	15:27 (2)	13:19(1)	16:54 (2)	16:54 (2)	16:35(1)
Associated with unerupted	126:197 (3)	NA	126:197 (3)	126:187 (3)	NA	NA	131:261 (5)	111:250 (4)	250:455 (7)	237:437 (7)	28:32 (1)

Y, Yes; N, no; BL, buccolingual; NA, information not available.

*Findings of the previous SR.

- 3 -

пi	shoo	51	lo.	1

Additional 01965	
54:18 (1)	3 (26)
30.70 (1)	1 (20)
ING	(818
ING	(6) 4
47:18 (1)	7 (25)

Associated

Table	IX.	Statistical	analysis	(v^2)	of	clinical	and	radiographic f	eatures
rabic		Stationear	anaryoto	X X 1	01	unicar	and	raulographic r	catures

Clinical features		
Male:female, additional cases vs. Caucasian	$\chi^2 = 3.855 (1 df); P = .0496$	Significant
Male: female, additional cases vs. East Asian	$\chi^2 = 5:16 (1 df); P = .0231$	Significant
Male:female, additional cases vs. African	$\chi^2 = 8.168 (1 df); P = .0043$	Significant
Male:female, additional cases vs. American	$\chi^2 = 7.544 \ (1 \ df); P = .0043$	Significant
Mandible: maxilla: additional cases vs. African	$\chi^2 = 39.117 (1 df); P < .001$	Significant
Mandible: maxilla: East Asian vs. American	$\chi^2 = 108.4 \ (1 \ df); P < .0001$	Significant
Radiographic features		
Unilocular:multilocular, additional cases vs. Caucasian	$\chi^2 = 7.243 (1 df); P = .0071$	Significant
Unilocular:multilocular, east Asian vs. Caucasian	$\chi^2 = 9.344 \ (1 \ df); P = .0022$	Significant
Marginal definition, additional cases vs. American	$\chi^2 = 36.30 (1 df); P < .0001$	Significant
Root resorption, additional cases vs. American	$\chi^2 = 5.81 (1 df); P < .0159$	Significant

Only statistically significant results are given in this table.

- ii. Age: average age: 30.7 yrs, predominant at 3^{rd} (n = 24) and 2^{nd} (n = 18)
 - decades.
 - iii. Male: Female = 3:1, Mandibular-to-maxillary ratio = 2.61 : 1
 - iv. Sites: most common over Class 2 area. (tooth 34M- and 44M-distal)
 - v. Unilocular more common both maxillary and mandibular.

 Table VI. Prevalence of orthokeratinized odontogenic

 cyst (OOC) and keratocystic odontogenic tumor (KOT)

 in the Indian population

	No. of	No. of patients	
Lesion	cases	in cohort	Prevalence
OOC	5	415,629	0.0012%
KOT	72	415,629	0.017%

Table VIII. Distribution of keratocystic odontogenic tumor (KOT) in maxilla and mandible according to \overline{IV} classification depicted in Figure 1

	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4	Class 5	Total	
Mandible	7	17	6	4	13	47	Γ
Maxilla	3	13	2	0	-	18)(

2. KOT and OOC: completely different lesions

- 3. KOT inactivation of PTCH
 - i. Importance of genetic origin of KOT p't.
 - ii. The SR is the first compilation of KOT in Indian population
 - Additional cases/ African and South Asian community
 - 1. Results might match genetic belonging, geographic proximity
- 4. Awareness or not

iii.

- i. American and Caucasian groups: greater number of case report and earlier diagnosed
- ii. African and South Asian groups: higher number of cases reported per year.
- iii. Higher number of KOTs per year in the African and East Asian groups indicates a higher incidence of KOT in these groups
- 5. Mean age
 - i. Mean age higher in American group of the present SR.
 - ii. Combined analysis of the American and Caucasian groups: didn't reflect higher mean age.
- iii. KOT in American groups may occur much earlier.
- 6. Pain and multilocular
 - i. Rare, only 1 report presented it common in African groups.
- 7. Maxilla/Mandible predominated
 - i. Maxilla predominated in African group because of Sri Lankan report.

 Table VII. Keratocystic odontogenic tumor (KOT)

 distribution by sex and age

Age (y)	Male	Female	Total
0-9	0	0	0
10-19	13	5	18
20-29	18	6	24
30-39	13	0	13
40-49	6	4	10
50-59	1	1	2
60-69	2	0	2
70-79	1	2	3
Total	54	18	72

8. Sv	welling: East Asian, Caucasian African and Latin American groups.
i.	American groups not involved.
ii.	Might due to increased size because of poor awareness.
9. B	uccolingual expansion
i.	Known as rare buccolingual expansion.
ii.	Significantly higher in East Asian group.
10. R	oot resorption
i.	Significantly higher in East Asian group.
11. U	nerupted tooth
1.	Significantly higher in American group.
11.	Might be high incidence of prophylactic removal of impacted teeth in
10	America.
12. A	dditional Cases
1.	OOC in Indian community: 6.5%, world average: 11%
	7% for Subsaharan and 8% each for the Latin American and East Asian
	groups
11.	KOT in additional cases: 93.5%,
	Subsaharan (93%), Latin American (92%), and East Asian (92%)
	groups but more than the Western group (89%)
111.	Male/ Female ratio = 3
•	1.3 to 1.6 Worldwide.
1V.	Age: predominately 3 decade, both male and female. 1^{St} decade of female and 2^{rd} decade of much device energies.
	I decade of female and 3 decade of male during previous SK.
V.	Mandibular: Maxillary involved = 2:1 Most common sites: close $2 > 5 > 1 > 4$
V1.	Most common sites: class $2 > 3 > 1 > 4$
	class 4 rare might because early examination due to oral radiographic
	Examinationsetc.
V11.	American (unilocular 74.5%) and Caucasian (unilocular 86.4%) and
	American (unifocular 74.3%), and Caucasian (unifocular 80.4%), and East Asian (unifocular 54.7%) groups
*****	East Asian (unnocular 54.7%) groups
VIII.	Doorly defined borders in American group
1	Poot resoration: rare in India area
V Conchu	resorption. Tare in india area.
V. Concit	SIOIIS
KUI. 1 D	sinlass accessionally swalling in cortain population majorly mandible
1. Fo	volves Unilocular variety more common Poot resorntion and
lii bi	volves, Onnocular variety more common. Root resorption and
2 4	dditional cases (Indian population):
2. A	72 KOTs and 5 OOCs
ii	Male major 3 rd decade predominately
	斯日
起加	Which one about Karatinized adaptogenia tymer (KOT) and
1	Orthokaratinized Odontogenic over is in correct?
	(A) Begurrange rate of KOT is higher than OOC
	 (A) A contract of AOT is higher than OOC. (B) KOT and OOC both predominated in postarior mandible area
	(C) Historethologic findings: KOT and OOC both has policeded basel
	(c) Instopatiologic midnigs. KOT and OOC both has pansaded basal
	(D) KOT and OOC are different kinds of lesion
茨安(C)	山市: Oral and Maxillofacial Dathology adition 2
谷禾(し)	山應·Otai anu waxinoiaciai ramoiogy, cuiuoli 5

題號	題目
2	Which one about KOT was wrong?
	(A) Majorly infect 2rd to 3nd decade's male.
	(B) Root resorption and buccolingual expansion mainly happens in
	East Asian group cases.
	(C) Reclassified by WHO in 2005 and named as Keratinized
	Odontogenic Tumor because of it's character like high recurrence
	rate.
	(D) Associated to activation of PTCH gene and 9 th chromosome
	changing.
答案(D)	出處: Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, edition 3
	Keratocystic odontogenic tumor: systematic review with
	analysis
	of 72 additional cases from Mumbai, India