Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and dynamic MRI evaluation of extranodal non-Hodgkin lymphoma in oral and maxillofacial regions
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Objective. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic value of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), especially dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI), in extranodal non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) of oral and maxillofacial regions.

Study design. Thirteen cases with extranodal NHL were examined using MRI. T1-weighted images (T1WI) and T2-weighted images (T2WI) or short TI inversion recovery (STIR) images were obtained in all cases. Contrast-enhanced images and DCE-MRI were acquired in 10 and 7 cases, respectively. On DCE-MRIs, we analyzed the parameters as follows: contrast index at maximal contrast enhancement (CImax), maximum contrast index (CI) gain/CImax ratio, and washout ratios (WR300, WR600, and WR900) at 300, 600, and 900 seconds after contrast medium injection.

Results. The signal intensity of all lesions was hypointense to isointense on T1WIs and showed variable contrast enhancement patterns. On T2WIs and STIR images, the signal intensity was isointense to hyperintense in almost all cases. Analysis of DCE-MRI parameters in extranodal NHLs resulted in the identification of 4 types of CI curves according to CImax and WR: (1) CImax greater than 2.0 and WR900 greater than 40%, (2) CImax greater than 2.0 and WR900 less than 40%, (3) CImax less than 1.5 and WR900 greater than 40%, and (4) CImax less than 1.5 and WR900 greater than 40%.

Conclusions. The signal intensities on MRI were not specific to extranodal NHL and resembled those of other tumor types. When CImax was less than 1.5 or WR900 was less than 40%, these parameters contributed to diagnosis in extranodal NHLs. (Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2012;113:126-133)

Malignant lymphomas are divided into non-Hodgkin and Hodgkin groups, and approximately 40% of non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHLs) arise at extranodal sites outside the lymphoid system. The most common sites of extranodal NHL in the oral region are the palate and maxilla. The common clinical symptom is mass formation with or without ulceration, and the radiological sign of lesions involving the jawbone is diffuse bone resorption, similar to those of periodontal inflammation, osteomyelitis, and other malignant tumors. Some authors have reported the existence of various magnetic resonance (MR) findings for extranodal NHL of the head and neck region and nonspecific signal characteristics.

It has been reported that the time versus signal-intensity curve, which uses the parameters of dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI), is useful for diagnosis of some lesions. Furthermore, using the calculated values from parameters of DCE-MRI, such as the contrast index (CI) curve, might make it possible to investigate the characteristics of lesions and contribute to diagnosis. We reported that the CI of malignant lymphomas (including nodal lymphomas in the head and neck region) have characteristic values and maximum CI values that are useful for distinguishing malignant lymphomas from oral squamous cell carcinomas.

In the present study, we retrospectively evaluated magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of extranodal NHL of oral and maxillofacial regions. Furthermore, we evaluated the diagnostic value of the parameters of CI curves on DCE-MRI.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients
Twenty-six patients were histopathologically diagnosed with extranodal NHL in our hospital between April 1993 and December 2009. Of these patients, we
Table I. Clinical information, tumor size, and MRI findings in 13 patients with malignant lymphoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Size on MRI (mm)</th>
<th>TIWI</th>
<th>Size on MRI (mm)</th>
<th>T2WI</th>
<th>STIR</th>
<th>Size on MRI (mm)</th>
<th>CE-T1WI</th>
<th>DE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>25 × 10 × 30</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Iso-Hyper</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Palate</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>55 × 36 × 22</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Hetero</td>
<td>Hypo-ISO</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Tongue</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>16 × 18 × 16</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Homo-Slightly</td>
<td>Hyper</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>MALT</td>
<td>29 × 16 × 41</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Iso-Hyper</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5*</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>UG</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>38 × 19 × 22</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Iso-Hyper</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6*</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MaxS</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>59 × 43 × 49</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Hypo-ISO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7†</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>UG</td>
<td>ATLL</td>
<td>26 × 32 × 24</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8†</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Palate</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>23 × 20 × 10</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Iso-Hyper</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9†</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>15 × 7 × 10</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Hypo-ISo</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10†</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>UG</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>53 × 50 × 37</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Homo-Slightly</td>
<td>Hypo-ISO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11†</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>39 × 40 × 32</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Hetero</td>
<td>Iso-Hyper</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12†</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Palate</td>
<td>MALT</td>
<td>22 × 21 × 16</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Homo-Slightly</td>
<td>Hypo-ISO</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Iso-Hyper</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Palate</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>18 × 12 × 9</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Iso</td>
<td>Nearly homo</td>
<td>Hypo-ISO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; Loc, location; WI, weighted image; CE, contrast-enhanced; DE, degree of enhancement by contrast medium; BM, buccal mucosa; UG, upper gingiva; MaxS, maxillary sinus; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; MALT, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue; ATLL, adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; Homo, homogenous; Hetero, heterogeneous; Hypo, hypointense; Iso, isointense; Hyper, hyperintense; L, low; M, moderate; H, high; —, not performed.
*Cases that underwent dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI.
†Cases with contrast enhancement.

Evaluated the records of 13 who underwent MR examination with or without contrast medium enhancement in this retrospective study. This study was approved by our institutional review board (No. 232). The patients were 5 men and 8 women with a mean age of 66.5 years (age range, 44-79 years) (Table I).

**MRI study protocol**

The MR examination was performed using a 1.5-T unit with a head or head-neck coil. T1-weighted images (T1WI) were acquired with a spin-echo sequence using parameters of 500 to 660/15 ms (repetition time/echo time [TR/TE]). T2-weighted images (T2WI) with fat suppression, for 9 cases, or short TI inversion recovery (STIR) images, for 4 cases, were acquired with a turbo–spin-echo sequence, using parameters of 2800 to 3000/90 to 105 ms (TR/TE) for T2WIs and 4500, 6100/60/140 ms (TR/TE/inversion time [TI]) for STIR images. Patient images were taken in both the axial and coronal planes.

In 10 patients, contrast-enhanced T1WIs (CE-T1WIs) with fat suppression were acquired using the same parameters as the unenhanced T1WIs after the administration of contrast medium. In this study, we used 2 types of contrast medium, gadopentetate dimeglumine (Gd-DTPA) and gadodiamide hydrate (Gd-DTPA-BMA). For 7 of these 10 patients, we performed dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) with the conditions described as follows.

The first series of DCE-MRIs was acquired using a 1.5-T unit with a head or head-neck coil. T1-weighted images (T1WI) were acquired with a spin-echo sequence using parameters of 500 to 660/15 ms (repetition time/echo time [TR/TE]). T2-weighted images (T2WI) with fat suppression, for 9 cases, or short TI inversion recovery (STIR) images, for 4 cases, were acquired with a turbo–spin-echo sequence, using parameters of 2800 to 3000/90 to 105 ms (TR/TE) for T2WIs and 4500, 6100/60/140 ms (TR/TE/inversion time [TI]) for STIR images. Patient images were taken in both the axial and coronal planes.

In 10 patients, contrast-enhanced T1WIs (CE-T1WIs) with fat suppression were acquired using the same parameters as the unenhanced T1WIs after the administration of contrast medium. In this study, we used 2 types of contrast medium, gadopentetate dimeglumine (Gd-DTPA) and gadodiamide hydrate (Gd-DTPA-BMA). For 7 of these 10 patients, we performed dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) with the conditions described as follows.

The first series of DCE-MRIs was acquired using 3-dimensional fast imaging with a steady-state precession sequence using the following parameters: TR 5 ms; TE 2 ms; flip angle 25°; 16 partitions for a 48-mm slab resulting in an effective thickness of 3 mm; and a 250 × 188-mm rectangular field of view and 256 × 192 matrix resulting in a 0.98 × 0.98-mm pixel size. The first series of DCE-MRI was composed of 21 consecutive scans (17, 14, and 12 consecutive scans for 3 cases, respectively) at 1-second intervals (the acquisition time for each scan was 14 seconds). Total scan time of this series was 180 to 315 seconds. Before the second scan, 0.2 mL/kg contrast medium was administered intravenously for 6 seconds at a rate of approximately 2.0 mL per second with manual injection. CE-T1WIs were acquired after the acquisition of the first series of DCE-MRIs in these 7 patients. In another 3 patients without DCE-MRIs, CE-T1WIs were acquired immediately after administration of the contrast medium.

Second and third series of DCE-MRIs were acquired at approximately 600 to 800 seconds and 900 to 1200 seconds (only at 800 seconds for 1 case) after the administration of contrast medium. Two consecutive scans were applied for the second and third series of DCE-MRIs, resulting in a total scan time of 30 seconds.

**Evaluation of MR images**

The MR images in 13 cases were retrospectively evaluated for tumor size and signal characteristics. Regarding the signal intensity (SI), the signal from the musculature was interpreted as isointense on T1WI, and the signal from the cerebrospinal fluid was interpreted as hyperintense on T2WI and STIR images. In 9 cases...
with CE-T1WI, we evaluated the degree of contrast medium enhancement as low to high.

**Analysis of DCE-MRI parameters**

In 7 cases with DCE-MRIs, we created CI curves using dynamic images to evaluate the flow pattern of contrast medium into the tumor mass. The region of interest (ROI) was drawn to include the maximal region of the tumor mass using the cursor on the monitor. The mean SI on the ROI of each lesion was calculated using a workstation (Synapse Vincent, Fujifilm, Medical Co., Tokyo, Japan). The CI was calculated using the formula

\[
CI = \frac{SI_{\text{postcontrast}} - SI_{\text{precontrast}}}{SI_{\text{precontrast}}}.
\]

The CI was plotted on a time course to obtain the CI curves. We evaluated the maximum CI gain (CI-gain), the maximum CI (CI\text{max}), and the CI\text{gain}/CI\text{max} ratio for the DCE-MRI parameters. The CI\text{gain} was considered to indicate the maximum gradient on the upslope phase of the enhancement curve as the CI\text{gain} indicates the difference in the CI between 2 consecutive images. The CI\text{max} was considered to represent the maximum amplitude of enhancement. The washout ratio (WR\text{300}, WR\text{600}, and WR\text{900}), expressed as a percentage, was defined as follows: CI\text{max} – CI\text{300}, CI\text{600}, or CI\text{900} is the CI at 300, 600, or 900 seconds after contrast medium administration.

**RESULTS**

**MR findings (size and characteristics of SI)**

The MR findings of all cases are summarized in Table I. The mean greatest dimension of the tumor was 34.2 mm (range: 18-59 mm). On T1WIs, all cases had homogeneous or nearly homogeneous SIs that were hypointense or isointense (Figures 2, A, and 3, A). On T2WIs (n = 9) and STIR images (n = 4), almost all cases had nearly homogeneous SIs that were isointense or hypointense (Figures 2, B, and 3, B). On the CE-T1WIs of 10 cases, almost all cases had (nearly) homogeneous SIs, although the degree of enhancement was variable (Figures 2, C, and 3, C).

**DCE-MRI parameters**

The CI curves and the DCE-MRI parameters, calculated from a dynamic series, are shown in Figure 4 and Table II. The CI curves of 2 cases, upper gingiva and maxilla, increased rapidly, reaching a CI\text{max} of less than 1.2 at 120 to 165 seconds. After that, the CI curves showed a nearly sustained plateau until 600 seconds, and gradually decreased to 1200 seconds. The WR\text{300} and WR\text{900} values of these cases were less than 20% and 30%, respectively. In the case of the maxillary sinus, the CI curve was similar to those of the upper gingiva and maxilla in the early phase, but showed a greater decrease in the late phase. In this case, the WR\text{900} was 48.6%, higher than those of the previous 2 cases. The CI\text{gain} of these 3 cases was less than 1.0, and the CI\text{gain}/CI\text{max} ratio was 40% to 55%.

The CI curves of the other 4 cases (palate, 2; buccal mucosa, 2) increased rapidly, reaching a CI\text{max} greater than 2.0 at 30 to 120 seconds. The CI\text{gain} of these 4 cases was greater than 1.5, and the
CIgain/CImax ratio was 60% to 85%. In these 4 cases, the WR300 was higher than 20%, and the WR600 of 3 cases was higher than 40%. In only 1 case of the palate, the WR600 and WR900 were 29.6% and 35.1%, respectively.

DISCUSSION
Malignant lymphoma is the second most common malignancy in the head and neck region, although its morbidity rate is not high.38-41 The occurrence rate of extranodal NHL is reported to be approximately 40%, and the most common site in the head and neck region is Waldeyer’s ring.1,8,42-48 Only 3.0% to 9.5% of extranodal NHL arises in the oral region, and its most common sites are the palate and maxilla.1-7,42,44

In the cases of extranodal NHL involving the jawbone, the typical radiological finding is diffuse bone resorption, similar to that of periodontal inflammation and other malignant tumors.3-10 Thus, there is no specific radiological finding for this lesion. Otherwise, when malignant lymphoma arises at the paranasal sinus, computed tomography (CT) images often show specific findings: namely, the tumor permeates the wall without aggressive bony destruction.11,39,49-52 In NHL of the oral region, such as the jawbone and palate, this finding is not observed as often. Furthermore, in cases arising from soft tissue locally, it is difficult to diagnose NHL by conventional radiographs and CT images.

Generally, the soft tissue contrast resolution of MRI is superior to that of CT; however, MRIs of extranodal lymphoma in the head and neck region have been reported to show variable homogeneity and SI of tumor on both T1WIs and T2WIs.12-15 The degree of enhancement on CE-T1WIs has been reported to be even more variable; therefore, characterizing this lesion by MRI is difficult. Our results are consistent with previous articles, including our own.12-15

Otherwise, in the analysis of DCE-MRI parameters, 2 characteristic patterns of CI curves, relating to the value of CImax and WR, were observed. In the first pattern, extranodal NHLs of the oral region were placed in 2 groups by the value of CImax; one group (n = 4) showed CImax over 2.0, whereas the other (n = 3) showed CImax less than 1.5. The lesions with CImax greater than 2.0 arose from the buccal mucosa or palate;
in contrast, the cases with lower CImax arose from the upper gingiva, maxilla, and maxillary sinus. We previously reported that CImax of NHLs tended to be less than 2.0, a different outcome from that in the present study.\textsuperscript{15,35} Our previous articles, however, included 10 nodal lymphoma lesions in 5 patients and 17 lesions in 8 patients overall, and this might have led to the differences in outcome between the past studies and the present study.\textsuperscript{15,35} In the previous results for extranodal lymphomas only, the CImax values of 5 lesions were all greater than 2.0 or close to 2.0, and that of another lesion was 1.37. Furthermore, the sites of lesions were the palate (1 case, CImax = 4.24), buccal mucosa (3 cases, CImax = 1.97, 1.93, and 1.37), and orbit (2 cases, CImax = 2.33 and 1.97). The association of lesions with high CImax values with the palate and buccal mucosa is consistent with the findings of the present study.\textsuperscript{35} On the other hand, we reported that the CImax of oral squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs) was 2.59 to 2.88, with no relationship between the CImax and the site of lesions.\textsuperscript{35-37} The conflicting results of NHL and SCC can be interpreted 2 ways. Focusing on the present study, the degree of enhancement of extranodal NHLs might differ by site, unlike oral SCCs.\textsuperscript{35-37} Another interpretation is that the present study might have yielded a lopsided outcome because of the small number of patients.

The second pattern we found related to washout of the contrast medium; extranodal NHLs could be divided into 2 groups by the WR at 900 seconds. The WR\textsubscript{900} of 1 group (1 palate, 1 buccal mucosa, 1 maxillary sinus) was greater than 40%, with a WR\textsubscript{600} value greater than 50% in 1 case; this means that CI curves

Table II. CImax, T\textsubscript{max}, CIgain, CIgain/CImax ratio, and WR\textsubscript{300-900} in 7 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>CImax</th>
<th>T\textsubscript{max}</th>
<th>CIgain</th>
<th>CIgain/CImax ratio</th>
<th>WR\textsubscript{300}</th>
<th>WR\textsubscript{600}</th>
<th>WR\textsubscript{900}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Palate</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>90s</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
<td>*50.1%</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>MALT</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>120s</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
<td>*35.7%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>UG</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>165s</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>*14.5%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MaxS</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>120s</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>*17.9%</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Palate</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>90s</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>30s</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>120s</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, contrast index; DCE-MRI, dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging; WR, washout ratio; BM, buccal mucosa; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; Loc, location; MALT, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue; MaxS, maxillary sinus; UG, upper gingiva.

*The cases using measurement value of DCE-MRI to calculate WR.
showed a rapid decrease following a rapid increase. On the other hand, the CI curves of another group (1 upper gingiva, 1 palate, 1 maxilla) showed a gradual decrease, with WR$_{900}$ less than 40%, following a rapid increase. Because there was no correlation between the 2 Clmax and WR patterns, our results suggested that extranodal NHLs of the oral region could be divided into at least 4 enhancement types by the Clmax and the WR. Especially, we considered that, in the cases with a rapidly decreasing CI curve (WR$_{900} > 40\%$), the degree of enhancement on CE-T1WIs differed from study to study because of the different intervals between contrast medium injection and imaging. In 4 cases in this study, we used interpolated values instead of measured values to calculate WR$_{900}$ and WR$_{900}$ using 21 consecutive scans of DCE-MRIs, because no other clinical data were available retrospectively. In the calculation of WRs at each time by the linear interpolation method, we used 2 values anteroposterior to the targeted time. Although the interpolated values might not be accurate, we thought they were reasonable estimates for consideration of the clinical WRs.

We could not evaluate our cases histopathologically because almost all specimens were taken by biopsy, not total extirpation. Several authors reported that DCE-MRI is useful for discrimination between benign and malignant disease, and the enhancement pattern of DCE-MRI has a relationship with tumor angiogenesis. We also reported that the DCE-MRI parameters of oral SCC, particularly with the CIgain/Clmax ratio, were correlated with the microvessel density (MVD) estimated by CD34. This positive correlation in oral SCC means that the more rapidly the CI curve increases, the higher the intratumor MVD of SCC becomes. By mechanically extrapolating the results of oral SCC into extranodal NHLs in this study, the cases with high CIgain/Clmax ratios might have higher MVD than the cases with low ratios. Still, the enhancement pattern of both cases with high and low CIgain/Clmax ratios showed rapid increase, and the pattern of washout was divided into 2 types: rapidly decreasing and gradually decreasing. Our results suggested that factors other than MVD might affect the enhancement pattern of extranodal NHLs of nonepithelial tumors, unlike that of oral SCCs. We were unable to study the histopathological types of NHLs because the number of patients in our study was too small for such an evaluation. We at least confirmed, however, that the enhancement patterns of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma appeared to be variable.

In conclusion, the SIs on MRI are not specific to extranodal NHL and resemble those for other tumors of the oral and maxillofacial regions. Although the DCE-MRI parameters also lack a characteristic pattern, lesions in which Clmax is less than 1.5 or WR$_{900}$ is less than 40% can be identified as extranodal NHLs rather than oral SCCs.
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